

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/806,635	DONY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Janet L. Andres	1646	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application: 1**

(1) Janet L. Andres.

(3) Norman Hanson.

(2) Fred price.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview: 25 August 2004**

**Time: 10:00 am**

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

102

Claims discussed:

33

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. patent 5770366


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative argued that inherency required that all of the subjects that would be treated as described in the '366 patent also be in need of bone and cartilage repair. The Examiner countered that what was required was that the method be such that any patient treated that had such a condition would have inherently been treated by the method, and that the incidence of, for example, arthritis, was sufficient high that the population of those suffering from cancer would include sufferers from arthritis. It was agreed that the matter would be discussed with a quality assurance specialist and that, if need, another interview with the specialist would be scheduled..